

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,254	01/04/2002	Thierry Valet	60559-301701	9462
7590 01/08/2008 BRIAN R. COLEMAN PATENT ATTORNEY PERKINS COIE LLP			EXAMINER	
			PIZIALI, JEFFREY J	
	P.O. BOX 2168 MENLO PARK., CA 94026-2168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,,		2629	
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Non-Compliant	10/040,254	VALET, THIERRY
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit
(**************************************	Jeff Piziali	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	<del></del>	<u> </u>
The amendment document filed on <u>24 October 2007</u> is requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the item(s) is required.		
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE TH  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not included by the control of the	de markings.	BE NON-COMPLIANT:
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet.     B. Other	37 CFR 1.72.	
☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly ident "Annotated Sheet" as required by 3 ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed showing amended figures, without r ☐ C. Other	7 CFR 1.121(d).  drawing correction has been elimin	nated. Replacement drawings
<ul> <li>4. Amendments to the claims: <ul> <li>A. A complete listing of all of the claims</li> <li>B. The listing of claims does not includ</li> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided vof each claim cannot be identified. number by using one of the followin (Previously presented), (New), (Not</li> <li>D. The claims of this amendment pape</li> <li>E. Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	e the text of all pending claims (inclevith the proper status identifier, and Note: the status of every claim must g status identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the individual status st be indicated after its claim ently amended), (Canceled), awn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or See Continuation Sheet	not signed in accordance with 37 (	CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format requ	ired by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NO	TICE:	
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	mit the non-compliant after-final ame	
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one (including a submission for a request for continued amendment filed within a suspension period unde <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are conon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37	e of the following: a preliminary ame d examination (RCE) under 37 CFR r 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an hecked, the correction required is o	indment, a non-final amendment (1.114), a supplemental nendment filed in response to a
Extensions of time are available under 37 CF amendment or an amendment filed in response		t amendment is a non-final
Failure to timely respond to this notice will reach Abandonment of the application if the non-filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-con amendment.	compliant amendment is a non-fina	
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable	Telepho	ne No
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	тенерно	Part of Paper No. 20071231

## Continuation of 4(e) Other:

The Applicant is thanked for the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007). However, plural seemingly non-compliant issues have been discovered in the paper, requiring attention before examination may continue.

C.F.R. § 1.121(c)(2) requires, "All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of 'currently amended,' and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived."

The 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007) improperly uses strike-through to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters when strike-through cannot be easily perceived (e.g., see Page 3; Claim 9, Line 2; and Claim 10, Line 3).

The applicants are respectfully reminded to use double brackets to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters, as required by C.F.R. § 1.121.

## Continuation of 5 Other:

The previous 'Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (mailed 24 July 2007) found the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) to be non-compliant.

The examiner thanks the Applicants for responding by now submitting additional remarks/arguments in the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007).

However, the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007) appears to be designed as an addendum to the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005). This is improper.

Because the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) was deemed non-compliant, the entire content of this section is no longer recognized as a part of the official prosecutorial record. Therefore, the examiner cannot consider, examine, or respond to the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005).

The 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' should function as a full replacement of the non-complaint 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005).

Therefore, the applicant is respectfully encouraged to submit at least a new corrected 'Remarks/Arguments' section which incorporates the content of the 'Remarks/Arguments' section of the 'Amendment' (filed 26 September 2005) along with the corrected content of the 'Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment' (filed 24 October 2007).

By such reasoning, this Notice of Non-Compliance is deemed necessary and proper at this time.

Please note: The response not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible non-compliance errors. If additional issues of non-compliance are discovered at the time of a subsequent response, yet another Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment will be necessitated. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any other errors of which Applicant may become aware.

Jeff Piziali

31 December 2007